

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/036,819

Applicant(s)

El Shami

Examiner

S. Devi, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1645



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) S. Devi (PTO)	(3)		
(2) Joseph Mueth			
	(4)		
Date of Interview Sep 5, 2003	-		
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's rep	oresentative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, bri	ef description:	
Claim(s) discussed: claim 56			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	_		
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	nature of what was	agreed to if an agree	ment was reached, or
Mr. Mueth was informed of a 35 USC 112, second paragra	aph issue in the appea	aled claim 56, due to	the mproper
antecedence in the recitation 'said labeled derivative' (line	8). In order to save s	some time for the Ap	plicant, it was
suggested that Applicant consider submitting an after-final			
and submitting three copies of the Appeal Brief with page			
was assured of the entry of such an after-final amendment	Mr. Mueth agreed	to submit such paper.	<u>S.</u>
	•		·
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no davailable, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	copy of the amendme	ents that would rende	er the claims allowable is ew (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	PEP section 713.04). OM THIS INTERVIEW	If a reply to the last (DATE TO FILE A STA	Office action has ATEMENT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signature	e, if required